Growth Performance and Feed Efficiency Assessment of Two Groups of Common Carp (Cyprinus carpio L.) Cultivated in Iraq
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Abstract: Laboratory experiments were conducted to compare the growth performance and feed efficiency of two groups, old (H1) and new (H2) of common carp Cyprinus carpio L. (97.37±0.33 g). Fishes of the H1 introduced to Iraq in 1982 and then their reproduction and farming began on a large scale, while fishes of the H2 was introduced to Iraq in 2009 by Inmaa Agricultural Enterprises Organization. H2 group indicated significantly (p<0.05) better performance in several studied parameters which included specific growth rate (SGR), feed conversion ratio (FCR), protein efficiency ratio (PER), protein productive value (PPV), total apparent digestibility and apparent digestibility of protein and carbohydrates. This group also recorded significant (p<0.05) lower feed satiation level and fat deposited in the body compared to the old group (H1). Results of the current experiment concluded that there is a clear higher efficiency of the group H2 in most studied growth and feed efficiency parameters, which indicates the possibility of obtaining higher productivity and better economic profits when used in farming activities.
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Introduction

Most countries of the world seek to achieve stability in food security by design future plans to support and develop food-producing sectors that directly affect the social and economic reality of these countries (FAO, 2014). Fishes meat is rich in omega-3 fatty acids, and considered as a valuable source for several other essential nutrients. Fishes constitute about 16% of total produced animal protein globally (Pradeepkiran, 2019).

Rapid population growth as well as increased wealth and urbanization in developing countries have led to major changes in the supply and demand off animal protein, while fishes consumption in developing countries is expected to increase by 57%, from 62.7 million tons in 1997 to 98.6 million tons in 2020 (Delgado et al., 2003).

Common carp Cyprinus carpio was introduced for the first time in 1955 to Iraq (Al-Hamed, 1967). A new breeders was introduced in 1982 from Hungary and used by the
company which constructed Al-Wahda Fish Hatchery (Al-Abbadi, 2015), since then they were used for reproduction and farming. Absent of new genetically improved brooders led to deterioration in some productive characteristics of these fishes.

Represented by low growth rates, low feed conversion rate, elongation of the body and less resistance to diseases, these reasons led to the need to introduce new genetically improved brooders of common carp.

In 2009, Inmaa Agricultural Enterprises Organization imported a new production group of common carp from Hungary (Azawy & Issa, 2019). Some local studies have been conducted on these old and new groups of common carp and their hybrids.

Al-Gypori & Saleh (2012) investigated some reproductive characteristics of these two groups, as well as growth and feed conversion ratio of the first generation resulting from cross-breeding between them. Azawy & Issa (2019) compared some somatic and reproductive characters between them.

The current study aims to compare some productive characteristics between these two production groups of common carp represented by the old group (H1) used since 1982 and the new group (H2) entered in the year 2009 which currently cultured in Iraq by studying growth and feed efficiency parameters in laboratory experiments for the purpose of answering fishes farmers question about the best productive group for use in their culture activities.

Materials & Methods

All experiments were conducted in the laboratory of Fishes Nutrition, Department of Animal Production Techniques, Al-Musaib Technical College, Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University. A recirculation closed system consisting of eight glass aquariums (150 litres), with dimensions of 100 × 40 × 50 cm was used. The system was equipped with 1500 litres stock water tank, which used to compensation the missing water. The biological filter tank was equipped with heating devices to control water temperature as the experiment being conducted during the winter season. Experimental fishes common carp C. carpio for both groups was obtained from the ponds of Private Babylon Hatchery, Babylon province, Iraq. There were a distinction between the two groups, represented by the clear presence of a shiny line of scales that exists on both sides of the dorsal fin of the new group (Al-Abbadi, 2015; Azawy & Issa, 2019).

Growth and feed efficiency

The growth experiment continued for 58 days from 20/12/2017 to 15/2/2018, after a 20 day acclimatization period. A total of 32 fishes (97.37±0.33 g) were used during the experiments, randomly divided by four fishes per aquarium and four aquariums per treatment. During the trial period, fishes were fed on an extruded commercial diet (Table 1) produced by Iraq Khairat factory, Baghdad, Iraq. Fishes were fed once per day in the morning up to satiation.

The proximate composition of the diet (Table 1), whole fishes and faeces were estimated by using standard methods of Olvera-Novoa et al. (1994). Carbohydrate (NFE+fibre) in the diet was estimated by difference.

Selected water quality parameters in aquariums were monitored weekly during the
experiments period represented by temperature °C (daily), dissolved oxygen mg-l, salinity PSU and pH (twice weekly).

### Table (1): Proximate composition (%) of the diet used during the experiments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proximate composition</th>
<th>Ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>11.59±0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude protein</td>
<td>33.96±1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ether extract</td>
<td>5.23±0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate (NFE+fibre)</td>
<td>43.40±3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>5.82±0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the end of the growth trial, fishes were weighed, and weight gain (WG), specific growth rate (SGR), feed conversion ratio (FCR), protein efficiency ratio (PER) and protein productive value (PPV) were calculated according to the following equations.

**Weight gain**

\[ WG (g) = \text{final weight (g)} - \text{initial weight (g)} \]

**Specific growth rate**

\[ SGR (% g^{-day}) = \frac{\ln \text{final weight (g)} - \ln \text{initial weight (g)}}{\text{rearing period (days)}} \]

**Feed conversion ratio**

\[ \text{FCR} = \frac{\text{dry feed intake (g)}}{\text{weight gain (g)}} \]

**Protein efficiency ratio**

\[ \text{PER} = \frac{\text{weight gain (g)}}{\text{protein intake (g)}} \]

**Productive protein value**

\[ \text{PPV} (%) = \frac{\text{protein gain (g)}}{\text{protein intake (g)}} \times 100 \]

**Digestibility**

At the end of the growth trial, digestibility trial was conducted according to the indirect method (Talbot, 1985). For the measurements of total and nutrients digestibility, one percent of chromic oxide (Cr₂O₃) was served as the inert indicator in the diet. Fishes were fed to satiation once a day during the trial, voided faeces were collected by siphoning, about one hour after feeding. Chromium oxide concentration in faeces was estimated based on Olvera-Novoa et al. (1994). The total and nutrients apparent digestibility coefficients (TADC and NADC) were calculated as followed:

\[ \text{TADC} = 100 - \left[ 100 \times \frac{\% \text{ indicator in food}}{\% \text{ indicator in faeces}} \right] \]

\[ \text{NADC} = 100 - \left[ 100 \times \frac{\% \text{ nutrient in faeces}}{\% \text{ nutrient in food}} \times \frac{\% \text{ indicator in food}}{\% \text{ indicator in faeces}} \right] \]

**Satiation level (SL)**

The trial of satiation lasted for 10 day, after digestibility trial, during that both groups of fishes were fed to excessed amount of feed as a one meal daily, for an hour (0800 to 0900 AM), uneaten feed was collected by siphoning, dried by air and weighed. Satiation level was estimated as a percentage of body weight according to the following equation:

\[ \text{Satiation level} \% = \frac{\text{feed consumed (g)}}{\text{body weight (g)}} \times 100 \]

**Statistical analysis**

Mean and standard deviation (Mean+ SD) for all parameters were calculated. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to
statistically analyse the obtained data of fishes growth, digestibility coefficients, feed efficiency and carcass composition at a significance level of 0.05. Statistical analyses were performed with the IBM SPSS Statistics 22 software package.

Results

Parameters of aquariums water quality are shown in table (2). They were relatively stable, with small fluctuations during the study period. No fish mortality was observed during the experimental period.

Table (2): Water quality parameters in the experimental aquariums during experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water quality parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>24.65±1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved oxygen (mg.l⁻¹)</td>
<td>6.36±1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity (PSU)</td>
<td>0.58±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.25±0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fishes initial weight of both treatments was almost similar and had no significant differences (p>0.05). According to growth parameters at the end of trial, the new group of common carp (H2) was superior as compared with the old one (H1). As a result of the current study, final weight, weight gain and SGR indicated that the new group grew at a significantly greater rate (p≤0.05) than did the old group (Table 3).

Table (3): Growth performance and feed efficiency for common carp groups during the growth experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial weight (g)</td>
<td>388.85±1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final weight (g)</td>
<td>528.15±21.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight gain WG (g)</td>
<td>139.30±21.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific growth rate SGR (% g⁻¹day)</td>
<td>0.53±0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed conversion rate FCR</td>
<td>2.36±0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein efficiency ratio PER</td>
<td>1.26±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein produced value PPV (%)</td>
<td>21.26±2.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means in the same raw with different superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05)

Feed and protein efficiency parameters (FCR, PER and PPV) were illustrated in table (3). The data for these parameters were in the same trend found in growth results. The differences in these parameters between both H1 and H2 groups were significant (p≤0.05). H2 fishes group revealed better results. The growth and feed efficiency data are supported by data on the digestibility of the diet. In that sense, total, protein and carbohydrate digestibility coefficients (Table 4) were significantly (p≤0.05) higher in H2 fishes followed by H1 fishes. Nevertheless, lipid and ash digestibility coefficients were almost close for both groups and had no significant differences (p>0.05) between them.
Table (4): Total and nutrients apparent digestibility coefficients (%) for common carp groups during the digestibility experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digestibility coefficients</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total digestibility coefficient</td>
<td>64.89±1.22b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein digestibility coefficient</td>
<td>77.89±2.62b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid digestibility coefficient</td>
<td>93.13±1.83a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrate digestibility coefficient</td>
<td>63.28±2.33b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash digestibility coefficient</td>
<td>9.45±5.31a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means in the same raw with different superscript letters are significantly different (P<0.05)

Satiation levels of experimental fishes are 1.64±0.30 and 1.49±0.35 % of body weight for H1 and H2 groups respectively. Results indicated that H2 fishes significantly (p≤0.05) consumed a smaller amount of feed compared to H1 group.

Proximate analysis of H2 fishes exhibited a decrease in the lipid content compared to H1 fishes, as it significantly (p≤0.05) decreased from 8.15 to 5.95 %. However, the results of moisture, protein and ash content of fishes of both groups showed no any considerable variations, with no significant differences (p>0.05) between them, as can be noticed in table (5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proximate body composition</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>71.93±1.09a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>16.85±0.42a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid</td>
<td>8.15±0.95b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>3.07±0.29a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means in the same raw with different superscript letters are significantly different (P≤0.05)

Discussion

As the genetic properties have important impact on growth of fishes in addition to the environmental conditions, the environmental conditions in laboratory trials must be controlled, and therefore the genetic impact could be measured (Magnussen, 2007). Temperature and dissolved oxygen were kept almost equally during the study period among aquariums. Therefore, the results indicated that they were relatively stable during all experiments. Simultaneously, salinity and pH had minor variations. All measured parameters were within appropriate limits for survival and growth of common carp (Goran et al., 2016).

Results of the current study obviously demonstrate that the SGR values of H2 group had superior values comparing to H1 group. Current results are in agreement with Al-Gypori & Saleh (2012) when they compared the growth criteria of the same two groups.

Furthermore, recorded value of SGR for H2 group (0.65 % g⁻day) of the present study is higher than the SGR of several local previous studies on H1 group such as Al-Hamadany (2008), Al-Dubakel & Al-Sanabani (2010),
Abulhini et al. (2013) and Al-Refaiee et al. (2016) which reached 0.57, 0.48, 0.25 and 0.63 % g-day, respectively. Selective breeding was a highly effective and sustainable means of improving productivity. Therefore, the higher growth values observed in the current study may be explained by the better genetic properties of the H2 group.

Several successful genetic improvement programs of cultured aquatic animal species have been developed over the last four decades achieving genetic gains between 10 and 20 % per generation for economically important traits (Ponzoni et al., 2013). Additionally, Hussain & Mazid (2005) declared that carps have revealed growth reduction possibly due to genetic deterioration which causes adverse impacts on aquaculture production. Furthermore, other studies pointed out that the inherent capacity of selective breeding could be a reason for faster growth as mentioned for Rohu (Labeo rohita) by Reddy et al. (2002) and Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) by Ibrahim et al. (2013). Eknath et al. (1993) reported that, next to one or two generations, African strains of Nile tilapia, performed better growth than domesticated strains used by fishes farmers in Philippine. Espinosa-Chaurand et al. (2019) also pointed that the difference in the growth between two strains of tilapia was very likely due to the genetic selection.

The efficiency of diet utilization varied with the studied groups of fishes, and hence feed efficiency values (FCR, PER and PPV) obtained in the present trial revealed a clear superiority for the H2 group. H2 group recorded considerably better FCR value (1.81) compared with H1 group, which recorded 2.36. Similar trends have been noticed for the protein efficiency criteria (PER and PPV). These results could be indicated that the H2 group was more efficient in feed efficiency and protein utilization than the H1 group. These results are consistent with the finding of Abdel-Tawwab (2004) on Nile tilapia.

The apparent digestibility coefficient is an important indicator that shows the percentage of digested and absorbed food by fishes. The higher apparent digestion coefficient values lead to a reduction in the loss of the amount of feed consumed with a decrease in the amount of waste excreted and thus a decrease in the percentage of water contamination (Hassan et al., 2017).

Mohammad & Al-Safo (2013) indicated that improving the apparent digestibility coefficients leads to an improvement in the growth parameters. The current study showed that the faster growing H2 group recorded significantly higher values in relation to the total, protein and carbohydrates apparent digestibility coefficients compared to H1 group, while there were no significant differences in the values of the apparent digestibility coefficients of the fat and ash for both groups of fishes. Rasmussen & Jokumsen (2009) stated that selective breeding could be a reason for improving the protein digestibility when comparing different rainbow trout families. Moreover, Li et al. (2019) stated that genetic selection might help to breed new gibel carp Carassius gibelio strains with improved carbohydrate and lipid utilization abilities.

Hepher (1988) stated that fishes differ in satiation levels, and that the amount of food consumed in one meal represents the satiation level. As noted by Glencross et al. (2007), to the necessity of feeding beyond the apparent
satiation, because fishes in order to express their response to food, must be given the chance to reject it. The current results showed that the amount of food needed to reach the satiation level was significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) reduced in the H2 group (1.49 % of body weight) compared to the H1 group (1.64 % of body weight). These results indicate that fishes of H2 group reached the satiation level with a smaller amount of food, which indicates a higher efficiency to benefit from food.

Al-Dubakel & Al-Sanabani (2010) stated that the amount of feed intake with a little satiation level is subsequently reflected on the feed conversion rates, and this is what was observed through the results of the current experiment. Eriegha & Ekokotu (2017) likewise indicated that the satiation level in fishes is affected by the digestibility of feed materials, and this is consistent with the results of digestibility in the current study. It is noticed, from the results of the current study, that the moisture content in bodies of fishes of H1 and H2 groups showed a decrease associated with an increase in the body fat content. These results are similar to many previous studies (Salam et al., 2000; Cheng & Hardy, 2004; Dempson et al., 2004) showed that moisture content is inversely related to fat content of fish body. H1 fishes showed an increase in the body fat content compared to H2 fishes, which indicates a higher ability to precipitate fat into the body. Amancio et al. (2019) declared that consuming higher amount of feed, caused higher deposition of fat in fish body, due to higher intake of digestible energy. The results of the current study showed that fishes of both groups did not significantly differ in the body content of protein. Indicated by Yigit et al. (2006) that the difference in protein content in fish body depends on genetic factors and as the two groups of the present study belong the same species (C. carpio), therefore, they did not differ in their protein content.

**Conclusions**

The results of the current experiments concluded that there is a clear higher efficiency of fishes of the new group (H2) which was introduced to Iraq in 2009, as they have a shiny line of scales that exists on both sides of the dorsal fin in most growth and feed efficiency parameters. This indicates the possibility of obtaining higher productivity and better economic profits when used in fishes farming (aquaculture) activities.
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تقييم اداء النمو وكفاءة التغذية لمجموعتين من أسماك الكارب الشائع (Cyprinus carpio L.) المستزرع في العراق

كاظم عبد مطر الحميري1 ورياض عدنان التميمي2 وساجد سعد النور2

1 الكلية التقنية/السيب، جامعة الفرات الأوسط التقنية، بابل، العراق
2 قسم الاسماك والثروة البحية، كلية الزراعة، جامعة البصرة، البصرة، العراق

المستخلص: اجريت التجربة المختبرية لمقارنة اداء النمو وكفاءة التغذية لمجموعتين، القديمة (H1) والجديدة (H2) من أسماك الكارب الشائع Cyprinus carpio L. في العراق في العام 2002 تم حديث الكارب الشائع H1 إلى العراق في العام 2002 ثم حدث التوسع الكبير في تكثيفها واستزراعها، بينما دخلت أسماك المجموعة H2 إلى العراق في العام 2009 بواسطة منظمة أنما للمشاريع الزراعية. اظهرت أسماك المجموعة H2 اداء أفضل بما يتعلق بالعديد من المقاييس المدروسة تمثلت بمعدل النمو النوعي (SGR) ومعدل التحول الغذائى (FCR) ونسبة كفاءة البروتين (PER) وقابليه الهضم الظاهرية الكلية وقابلية الهضم الظاهرية للبروتين (PPV) للإشباع وترسيب للدهون الهضم الظاهري للبروتينات والكاربوهيدرات. كما سجلت أسماك هذه المجموعة مستويات أقل معنوية (p<0.05) للإشباع وترسيب للدهون في الجسم مقارنة باسماك المجموعة القديمة (H1). استنادًا نتائج الدراسة الحالية أن أسماك المجموعة H2 تمتلك وشكل واضح كفاءة أعلى فيما يتعلق بمهمة مقاييس النمو وكفاءة التغذية المدروسة، مما يؤدي إلى احتمالية أكبر في الحصول على انتاجية أعلى وارباح أفضل عند استخدامها في نشاطات الاستزراع السمكي.

الكلمات المفتاحية: الكارب الشائع، النمو، كفاءة التغذية.