

## **Evaluation of Fertilizer Electrical Conductivity (EC) and Temperature Distribution via Vertical Farming System under Plant Factory**

**Ahmad S. S. Sulaiman<sup>1\*</sup>, Mohammad A. Ahmad<sup>2</sup>, Seri A. Hassim<sup>2</sup>, & Muhamad S. A. Azman<sup>2</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Smart and Precision Agriculture Programme, Engineering Research Centre, MARDI Headquarters Serdang, 43400 Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>Horticultural Research Centre, MARDI Headquarters Serdang, 43400 Selangor, Malaysia

\*Corresponding Author E-mail: [syafik@mardi.gov.my](mailto:syafik@mardi.gov.my)

Received 19 September 2019; Accepted 2 April 2021; Available online 20 August 2021

**Abstract:** A plant factory has been developed at Horticultural Research Centre, MARDI Serdang. The cultivation approach under the plant factory consists of nine units planting racks with each rack having seven tiers or levels that can cultivate up to 900 crops per rack. Each unit of planting racks has been installed with electrical conductivity (EC) and pH monitoring system. EC is a meaningful indicator of water quality, soil salinity and fertilizer concentration. In this study, the data for EC and temperature were taken for each level different locations (three points along the rack) to evaluate the fertilizer quality distribution. The objective of the study was to evaluate the effectiveness of EC and temperature distribution on the planting rack of vertical farming system under the plant factory. From the study, it was found that EC and temperature parameters were not significantly different at each level and the point location of the vertical farming system. EC and temperature parameters were significantly different with the time (week) and point location from week to week. The effect of the interaction between time (week) and level on EC and temperature parameters were not statistically different. Therefore, it can be concluded that the effect of EC and temperature distribution at different levels of the vertical farming system did not depend on the time.

**Keywords:** Plant factory, vertical farming system, fertilizer concentration distribution, Electrical Conductivity and temperature monitoring.

## **Introduction**

A plant factory is a closed growing system/ structures which enable a farmer to achieve constant production of crops all year around. The facility utilizes artificial control of light, temperature, moisture, and carbon dioxide concentrations. Nowadays, the method is receiving importance and gaining the intention of the growers. The method can provide sufficient food supply throughout the year. In the system, the plant grows around the year by artificially adjusting and controlling the

surrounding environmental conditions such as temperature, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), humidity, light intensity, airflow, and nutrients supply within the confined facilities ( Moon *et al.*, 2014; Lee & Yoe, 2015) . Besides, the system minimised environmental impact and maximised the crop yield with significant results as compared with traditional (open-field) cultivation system (Stanghellini, 2014). With a growing human population, there is a growing need for sustainable practices,

especially within agriculture. As the population increases, so does the number of resources used to provide for it. Vertical farming technology has the potential to impact the world economically, environmentally, and socially. Recently, the application of vertical farming into cities has increased due to the consciousness of the public about food safety. The main idea behind vertical farms is to reduce the overall amount of resources used and to decrease agriculture's carbon footprint.

Plant physiology, growth, and development are closely associated with the environmental conditions and nutrient supply (Savvas *et al.*, 2008; Signore *et al.*, 2016). Evaluation of the optimum fertilizer/ nutrient application to crops is fundamental to improve crop production, especially for fast-growing leafy vegetables (Gorbe & Calatayud, 2010; Haydon *et al.*, 2015). Inadequate management of nutrient solution such as the use of a too high or a too low concentration of the nutrient solution, or an imbalanced ion composition could inhibit plant growth due to either toxicity or nutrient-induced deficiency (Benke & Tomkins, 2017). The electrical conductivity (EC) is an index of salt concentration and an indicator of electrolyte concentration of the solution. EC of the nutrient solution is related to the number of ions available to crops in the root zone. The optimal EC is crop-specific and depends on environmental conditions. In general, higher EC hinders nutrient uptake by increasing the osmotic pressure of the nutrient solution, wastes nutrients, and the increases discharged of nutrients into the environment, resulting in environmental pollution (Kalantari *et al.*, 2017). Lower EC may severely affect plant health and yield.

The National Policy on Industry 4.0 also known as Industry 4WRD is one of the initiatives by the government of Malaysia to enhance the implementation of precision

agriculture in the industry (Ministry of International Trade and Industry, 2018). Precision agriculture has been popularized since the evolution of industrial revolution (IR) was introduced in the late 1960s.. Besides, the concept requires producers and farmers to communicate with technology rather than operating them. In this paper. The objective of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of the vertical farming system that have been developed under the plant factory in order to control and monitor the irrigation for EC and temperature distribution. The objective can be related to the policy via using the precision technique for monitoring system.

## Materials & Methods

### Vertical farming system description and operation

The planting racks (vertical farming system) was developed into a seven tiers/ levels of nutrient film technique (NFT) hydroponic container to cultivate crops. It consists of three main components which were fertilizer tank, planting rack and hydroponic container. The vertical farming system height is 7 m, which each rack has a length of 2.5 m, width 0.9 m and height of 0.12 m (Fig. 1). The most important component of this vertical farming system is the EC monitoring system in which a sensor has been installed inside the fertilizer tank and a monitor that will display the reading at the planting rack (fig. (2)). Each rack/ tier can accommodate up to 900 crops at one time and for seven tiers at the vertical farming system can cultivate up to 6300 crops.

### Lettuce Cultivation

*Lactuca Sativa* of Red Coral and Butterhead were planted in a Nutrient Film Technique (NFT) hydroponics plot of 0.9 m wide, 0.01 m thick and 2.5 m long that vertically arranged

under planting rack structure (Figs. 3 and 4). The water level inside the growing container was 0.08 m which can reach by the crop roots. The crop spacing was 0.01 m, and for 2.5 m long of growing container it can accommodate near 900 crops. *Lactuca Sativa* was transplanted under the crop protection structure after three weeks of seeding in nursery. The crops were transplanted and grow inside the plant factory for three weeks before harvested. The lettuce of Red Coral and Butterhead were used in this study due to its high price and can be easily grown using the hydroponic system.



**Fig. (1): Vertical farming system.**



**Fig. (2): Fertilizer monitoring system.**



**Fig. (3): Red Coral lettuce.**



**Fig. (4): Butterhead lettuce**

### Data collection

Data collection have been conducted on 7 tiers of planting rack under plant factory in MARDI Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia. Handheld EC and temperature sensors have been used to record EC and temperature reading at three point locations at each hydroponic container (inlet, middle and outlet) on the planting rack. Data were recorded at 60 minutes' interval time for 8 hours per day from 8.00 a.m. to 5.00 p.m. for 6 weeks. The data were recorded after the crop was transplanted from the nursery to the plant factory.

### Fertilizer flow rate calculation

The fertilizer flow rate can be determined if the water pump power capacity of the water and the force (pressure) required to produce that flow are known. The following formula was used to calculate fertilizer flow rate as shown in Equation 1 (Pritchard, 2011):

$$Q = \frac{\text{water horsepower} \times 3960}{\text{TDH} \times \text{SG}} \quad (1)$$

water horsepower is the minimum power required to run the water pump, TDH is total dynamic head equals to vertical distance liquid travels (in feet) and friction loss from the pipe, Q is the flow rate of liquid in gallons per minute, and SG is the specific gravity of the liquid which in this case is the SG of fertilizer use for fertilizing the crops. The specific gravity (*sg*) of the liquid fertilizer should be provided by the fertilizer provider.

### Data Analysis

The data obtained were subjected to descriptive statistics, analysis of variance (ANOVA) and correlation analysis. All the statistical analysis was carried out using SAS statistical software (Version 9.4, SAS Institute, USA).

### Results & Discussion

EC and temperature of fertilizer distribution on the vertical farming system

Table (1) shows the EC and temperature at three point locations at different levels of the vertical farming system. The EC fluctuated along the levels for left, middle, and right locations of the hydroponic tank at each level of the vertical farming system, ranging from 2.16 - 2.20  $\mu\text{S}$ , respectively. The standard deviation was quite low which was between 0.03 to 0.09  $\mu\text{S}$ . The temperature increased significantly along the levels for left, middle,

and right locations ranging from 25.17 - 25.65  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , respectively. For temperature, low standard deviation was also achieved which was between 0.81 to 1.00  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ . In this case, both parameters were not significantly different with the level and point location.

Table (2) shows the EC and temperature in three point locations for each level of the vertical farming system at different weeks. The EC increased significantly along the weeks for left, middle, and right locations ranging from 2.12 - 2.30  $\mu\text{S}$ , respectively. The standard deviation was quite low which was between 0.01 to 0.07  $\mu\text{S}$ . The temperature fluctuated along the weeks for left, middle, and right locations ranging from 24.16 - 26.90  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , respectively. For temperature, low standard deviation was also achieved which was between 0.13 to 0.47  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ . In this case, both parameters were significantly different with the week and point location.

Normally, the environmental factors including temperature, humidity, light, and  $\text{CO}_2$  concentration are essential in the crop growth and quality via plant factory system. Proper temperature is needed depending on the type of crops in order to evaluate the germination and growth of the crops (Ryu *et al.*, 2014).

Maneejantra *et al.* (2016) studied the cultivation of spinach in a plant factory which was highly influenced by the nutrient content, environmental conditions, and hydroponic system. In a similar study, Engelen-Eigles *et al.* (2006) developed a potential commercial system via plant factory to determine the effect of temperature and light intensity on the growth of watercress. It can be noted that high temperature resulted in a decrease in photosynthesis rates as well as reduced water-use efficiency for the crop growth (Sakamoto & Suzuki, 2015).

**Table (1): EC and temperature in three point locations at different levels.**

Level	Parameter	Point location		
		Left	Middle	Right
Control	EC	2.16±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.16±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.16±0.06 <sup>a</sup>
	Temperature	25.27±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	25.27±1.00 <sup>a</sup>	25.27±1.00 <sup>a</sup>
1	EC	2.20±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	2.18±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	2.19±0.05 <sup>a</sup>
	Temperature	25.17±0.97 <sup>a</sup>	25.23±0.98 <sup>a</sup>	25.18±1.00 <sup>a</sup>
2	EC	2.20±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.20±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	2.20±0.06 <sup>a</sup>
	Temperature	25.23±0.97 <sup>a</sup>	25.23±0.98 <sup>a</sup>	25.25±0.97 <sup>a</sup>
3	EC	2.19±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	2.20±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	2.20±0.09 <sup>a</sup>
	Temperature	25.17±0.96 <sup>a</sup>	25.22±0.93 <sup>a</sup>	25.22±0.90 <sup>a</sup>
4	EC	2.16±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	2.18±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	2.16±0.05 <sup>a</sup>
	Temperature	25.17±0.96 <sup>a</sup>	25.18±0.94 <sup>a</sup>	25.18±0.94 <sup>a</sup>
5	EC	2.19±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.19±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.18±0.07 <sup>a</sup>
	Temperature	25.28±0.96 <sup>a</sup>	25.30±1.01 <sup>a</sup>	25.23±0.99 <sup>a</sup>
6	EC	2.17±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	2.17±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	2.19±0.06 <sup>a</sup>
	Temperature	25.65±0.85 <sup>a</sup>	25.50±0.83 <sup>a</sup>	25.35±0.90 <sup>a</sup>
7	EC	2.16±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	2.17±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	2.17±0.08 <sup>a</sup>
	Temperature	25.65±0.85 <sup>a</sup>	25.50±0.83 <sup>a</sup>	25.48±0.81 <sup>a</sup>

\* Different letters within the same column indicate statistical difference by the Tukey's test,  $P < 0.05$  for seven levels.

**Table (2): EC and temperature in three point locations at different weeks.**

Week	Parameter	Point location		
		Left	Middle	Right
1	EC	2.13±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	2.12±0.03 <sup>c</sup>	2.13±0.04 <sup>b</sup>
	Temperature	25.04±0.47 <sup>cd</sup>	24.99±0.31 <sup>c</sup>	25.04±0.47 <sup>c</sup>
2	EC	2.17±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	2.15±0.04 <sup>bc</sup>	2.17±0.01 <sup>b</sup>
	Temperature	25.40±0.19 <sup>bc</sup>	25.46±0.24 <sup>b</sup>	25.40±0.19 <sup>b</sup>
3	EC	2.18±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	2.19±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	2.18±0.04 <sup>b</sup>
	Temperature	24.16±0.18 <sup>e</sup>	24.19±0.16 <sup>d</sup>	24.16±0.18 <sup>e</sup>
4	EC	2.17±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	2.17±0.01 <sup>bc</sup>	2.17±0.01 <sup>b</sup>
	Temperature	25.50±0.22 <sup>b</sup>	25.50±0.17 <sup>b</sup>	25.50±0.15 <sup>b</sup>
5	EC	2.17±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	2.17±0.03 <sup>bc</sup>	2.17±0.03 <sup>b</sup>
	Temperature	24.70±0.18 <sup>d</sup>	24.69±0.16 <sup>c</sup>	24.70±0.18 <sup>d</sup>
6	EC	2.28±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	2.30±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	2.28±0.07 <sup>a</sup>
	Temperature	26.90±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	26.90±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	26.90±0.13 <sup>a</sup>

\* Different letters within the same column indicate statistical difference by the Tukey's test,  $P < 0.05$  for six weeks.

Chen *et al.* (2016) studied the growth of Boston lettuce and coral lettuce for 14 days for germination with the nutrient solution circulating between the planting beds and storage tank with a pump in order to maintain the uniformity of the nutrient intake. An efficient plant factory governed by factors that affected the crop growth which was light intensity, EC, pH, temperature, humidity, CO<sub>2</sub>, etc. (Amado *et al.*, 2016; Shamshiri *et al.*, 2018). To evaluate the potential of the vertical farming system under plant factory, Tamura *et al.* (2018) investigated the phytochemical accumulation and yield of leaf lettuce in conjunction with various growth factors including temperature, EC, and light intensity. In other research, Hasegawa *et al.* (2014) reported the change in CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature in relation to the growth of the plant samples. It

was revealed that plants cultivated at low temperature showed high photosynthesis rates compared to the plants cultivated at high temperature. Hence, it can be noted that temperature was vital in the plant growth and yield under plant factory system.

#### **Pearson correlation between EC and temperature of fertilizer distribution**

Table (3) shows the ANOVA of EC and temperature parameters: left point temperature (T1), middle point temperature (T2), right point temperature (T3), left point EC (EC1), middle point EC (EC2), and right point EC (EC3). The ANOVA analysis results showed that the EC and temperature parameters were significantly influenced by the week at  $P < 0.05$ .

**Table (3): Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of EC and temperature parameters.**

<b>Factors</b>	<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Mean square</b>	<b>P&lt;0.05</b>
<b>Week</b>	EC1	0.020	<.0001*
	T1	6.930	<.0001*
	EC2	0.030	<.0001*
	T2	6.938	<.0001*
	EC3	0.021	<.0001*
	T3	6.744	<.0001*
<b>Level</b>	EC1	0.002	0.8938
	T1	0.145	0.9917
	EC2	0.001	0.9683
	T2	0.063	0.9994
	EC3	0.002	0.8881
	T3	0.061	0.9994
<b>Week*Level</b>	EC1	0.001	0.8924
	T1	0.050	0.8952
	EC2	0.001	0.9994
	T2	0.039	0.9935
	EC3	0.002	0.9843
	T3	0.048	0.8999

Abbreviation of variables were T1: left point temperature, T2: middle point temperature, T3: right point temperature, EC1: left point EC, EC2: middle point EC, and EC3: right point EC.

\* The means are significant at  $P < 0.05$ .

The highest mean square was recorded for T2 with the values of 6.938. Meanwhile, the EC and temperature parameters were not significantly influenced by the levels with the highest mean square of 0.145 for T1. It was observed that the effect of the interaction between week and level on EC and temperature parameters were not statistically different (T1, T2, T3, EC1, EC2, and EC3). In other words, the effect of different levels does not depend on the week.

In a study conducted by Baek *et al.* (2016), temperature and air flow stagnation were important for the plant cultivation in order to determine the crop quality and growth in a vertical type plant factory. Tamura *et al.* (2018) suggested that the growth and quality of vegetables cultivated in the plant factory were influenced by the temperature, humidity, light source, and fertilizer. Lee *et al.* (2019) reported the growth of crisphead lettuce by comparing the type of cultivar, temperature and light intensity. It was revealed that the growth of crisphead lettuce was significantly influenced by temperature in low light intensity condition for all type of cultivars.

Similarly, Lee *et al.* (2013) investigated the productivity and quality of lettuce under artificial plant factory, indicating that low temperature conditions were applied to avoid tipburn due to reduction of photosynthetic rates.

The Pearson correlation coefficients between EC and temperature from different point locations are presented in table (4). The results demonstrated that the strong correlation was achieved between EC and temperature parameters to determine the growth of lettuce. All parameters were significantly different with the growth of lettuce at  $p < 0.05$ . Based on the result, the EC3 was positively correlated to the EC1 ( $r = 0.942$ ). Among the EC and temperature parameters values, the highest correlation was recorded between T2 and T3 ( $r = 0.995$ ). These observations showed the discrepancy in both EC and temperature parameters that could be explained by the importance of these parameters in the growth of lettuce. In this case, the EC and temperature parameters were feasible in determining the quality and growth of lettuce in the plant factory system.

**Table (4): Pearson correlation coefficients between EC and temperature from different point locations.**

	EC1	T1	EC2	T2	EC3
T1	0.517*				
EC2	0.883*	0.521*			
T2	0.535*	0.991*	0.546*		
EC3	<b>0.942*</b>	0.454*	0.883*	0.475*	
T3	0.511*	0.987*	0.517*	<b>0.995*</b>	0.448*

Abbreviation of variables were T1: left point temperature, T2: middle point temperature, T3: right point temperature, EC1: left point EC, EC2: middle point EC, and EC3: right point EC.

\* The means are significant at  $P < 0.05$ .

### Fertilizer flow rate calculation

Using the Hydroponic Nutrient Film Technique (NFT) we don't need to fill full water into growing container, just need a constant flow of fertilizer to the crops. The water depth is shallow, only a few mm (1/4 inch) so we don't need a large volume of water to be pumped through it. The pump just needs to be able to keep up with how fast the water is flowing out of the tubes. Typically, NFT system are constructed using long tubes that are angled so the water flows downhill as it flows through the tube.

\*A pipe friction loss charts 1" PVC pipe cause a loss of 6.3 ft of head every 100 ft, all 1" PVC pipe in the vertical farming system have 1.2 m long in total equal to 4 ft. so, (4 ft x 6.3 ft) / 100 ft = 0.252 ft head. Looks up the friction loss from each fitting in the pipe. For 1" PVC pipe, one 90° elbow connector and three treaded fitting contribute to a total loss of 15 ft. adding this all together, the total friction loss is 0.252 + 15 = 15.252 ft (friction)

Vertical distance of NFT hydroponic growing container is 2.5 meter, equal to 8.2 ft

$$\text{TDH} = 15.252 + 8.2$$

$$= 23.45 \text{ ft}$$

And from fertilizer provider, SG for fertilizer is 1, so

$$Q = \frac{\text{water horsepower} \times 3960}{\text{TDH} \times \text{SG}}$$

$$Q = \frac{0.5 \text{ Hp} \times 3960}{23.45 \text{ ft} \times 1} = 84.43 \text{ l/min}$$

The flow rate of fertilizer distribute to the 7 tiers of vertical farming is calculated at 84.43 l/min.

### Conclusion

Based on the findings, the EC and temperature

parameters were not significantly different with the level and point location. EC and temperature parameters were significantly different with the week and point location. The EC fluctuated along the levels ranging from 2.16-2.20  $\mu\text{S}$ , respectively. Meanwhile, the temperature increased significantly along the levels ranging from 25.17-25.65  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , respectively. The EC increased significantly along the weeks ranging from 2.12-2.30  $\mu\text{S}$ , respectively. Meanwhile, the temperature fluctuated along the weeks ranging from 24.16-26.90  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , respectively. The effect of the interaction between week and level on EC and temperature parameters were not statistically different. Therefore, the effect of different levels does not depend on the week. Thus, this technique provides a range of information which could be required to provide a greater understanding regarding the evaluation of fertilizer EC and temperature distribution via a vertical farming system.

### Acknowledgements

The researchers would like to thank the staffs of Engineering and Horticultural Research Centre MARDI for their assistance. This research was supported by RMK11 Development project under the Ministry of Agriculture and Agro-Based Industry (MOA).

### Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest, and also the funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

### References

- Amado, T. M., Valenzuela, I. C., & Orillo, J. W. F. (2016). Horticulture of lettuce (*Lactuca sativa* L.) using red and blue LED with pulse lighting



- treatment and temperature control in snap hydroponics setup. *Jurnal Teknologi*, 78, 67-71. <https://doi.org/10.11113/jt.v78.8804>
- Baek, M. S., Kwon, S. Y., & Lim, J. H. (2016). Improvement of the crop growth rate in plant factory by promoting air flow inside the cultivation. *International Journal of Smart Home*, 10, 63-74. <https://doi.org/10.14257/ijsh.2016.10.2.07>
- Benke, K., & Tomkins, B. (2017). Future food-production systems: Vertical farming and controlled-environment agriculture. Sustainability: *Science, Practice, and Policy*, 13, 13-26. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15487733.2017.1394054>
- Chen, W. T., Yeh, Y. H. F., Liu, T. Y., & Lin, T. Te. (2016). An automated and continuous plant weight measurement system for plant factory. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00392>
- Engelen-Eigles, G., Holden, G., Cohen, J. D., & Gardner, G. (2006). The effect of temperature, photoperiod, and light quality on gluconasturtiin concentration in watercress (*Nasturtium officinale* R. Br.). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 54, 328-334. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf051857o>
- Gorbe, E., & Calatayud, Á. (2010). Optimization of Nutrition in Soilless Systems: A Review. *Advances in Botanical Research*, 53, 193-45. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2296\(10\)53006-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2296(10)53006-4)
- Hasegawa, Y., Yamanaka, G., Ando, K., & Uchida, H. (2014). Ambient temperature effects on evaluation of plant physiological activity using plant bioelectric potential. *Sensors and Materials*, 26, 461-470. <https://doi.org/10.18494/SAM.2014.1008>
- Haydon, M. J., Román, Á., & Arshad, W. (2015). Nutrient homeostasis within the plant circadian network. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 6, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2015.00299>
- Kalantari, F., Tahir, O. M., Lahijani, A. M., & Kalantari, S. (2017). A review of vertical farming technology: A guide for implementation of building integrated agriculture in cities. *Advanced Engineering Forum*, 24, 76-91. DOI: [10.4028/www.scientific.net/AEF.24.76](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AEF.24.76)
- Lee, J. G., Choi, C. S., Jang, Y. A., Jang, S. W., Lee, S. G., & Um, Y. C. (2013). Effects of air temperature and air flow rate control on the tipburn occurrence of leaf lettuce in a closed-type plant factory system. *Horticulture Environment and Biotechnology*, 54, 303-310. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13580-013-0031-0>
- Lee, M., & Yoe, H. (2015). Analysis of environmental stress factors using an artificial growth system and plant fitness optimization. *Research International*, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/292543>
- Lee, R. J., Bhandari, S. R., Lee, G., & Lee, J. G. (2019). Optimization of temperature and light, and cultivar selection for the production of high-quality head lettuce in a closed-type plant factory. *Horticulture Environment and Biotechnology*, 60, 207-216. <https://agris.fao.org/agris-search/search.do?recordID=US201900259924>
- Maneejantra, N., Tsukagoshi, S., & Lu, N. (2016). A Quantitative Analysis of Nutrient Requirements for Hydroponic Spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) Production Under Artificial Light in a Plant Factory. *Journal of Fertilizers & Pesticides*, 7, 2-5. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2471-2728.1000170>
- Ministry of International Trade & Industry (2018) *Industry4WRD: National Policy on Industry 4.0. Malaysia: Ministry of International Trade and Industry.* <https://www.malaysia.gov.my/portal/content/30610>
- Moon, S. M., Kwon, S. Y., & Lim, J. H. (2014). Minimization of temperature ranges between the top and bottom of an air flow controlling device through hybrid control in a plant factory. *Scientific World Journal*, 2014, 801590, 7pp, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/801590>
- Pritchard, P. (2011). *Fox and McDonald's introduction to fluid mechanics*, 8th ed. New York: John Wiley. 875pp. [http://ftp.demec.ufpr.br/disciplinas/TM240/Marchi/Bibliografia/Pritchard-Fox-McDonalds\\_2011\\_8ed\\_Fluid-Mechanics.pdf](http://ftp.demec.ufpr.br/disciplinas/TM240/Marchi/Bibliografia/Pritchard-Fox-McDonalds_2011_8ed_Fluid-Mechanics.pdf)
- Ryu, D. K., Kang, S. W., Ngo, V. D., Chung, S. O., Choi, J. M., Park, S. U., & Kim, S. J. (2014). Control of temperature, humidity, and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in small-sized experimental plant factory. *Acta Horticulturae*, 1037, 477-484. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2014.1037.59>
- Sakamoto, M., & Suzuki, T. (2015). Elevated root-zone temperature modulates growth and quality of hydroponically grown carrots. *Agricultural Sciences*, 6, 749-757. <https://doi.org/10.4236/as.2015.68072>

- Savvas, D., Ntatsi, G., & Passam, H. C. (2008). Plant Nutrition and Physiological Disorders in Greenhouse Grown Tomato, Pepper and Eggplant. *The European Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology*, 2, 45-61. [http://www.globalsciencebooks.info/Online/GSBOonline/images/0812/EJPSB\\_2\(SI1\)/EJPSB\\_2\(SI1\)45-61o.pdf](http://www.globalsciencebooks.info/Online/GSBOonline/images/0812/EJPSB_2(SI1)/EJPSB_2(SI1)45-61o.pdf)
- Shamshiri, R. R., Kalantari, F., Ting, K. C., Thorp, K. R., Hameed, I. A., Weltzien, C., Ahmad, D., & Shad, Z. (2018). Advances in greenhouse automation and controlled environment agriculture: A transition to plant factories and urban agriculture. *International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering*, 11, 1-22. DOI: [10.25165/j.ijabe.20181101.3210](https://doi.org/10.25165/j.ijabe.20181101.3210)
- Signore, A., Serio, F., & Santamaria, P. (2016). A targeted management of the nutrient solution in a soilless tomato crop according to plant needs. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2016.00391>
- Stanghellini, C. (2014). Horticultural production in greenhouses: Efficient use of water. *Acta Horticulturae*, 1034, 25-32. <https://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2014.1034.1>
- Tamura, Y., Mori, T., Nakabayashi, R., Kobayashi, M., Saito, K., Okazaki, S., Wang, N., & Kusano, M. (2018). Metabolomic evaluation of the quality of leaf lettuce grown in practical plant factory to capture metabolite signature. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 9, 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2018.00665>. eCollection 2018